1	High Predictability of Tropical Pacific Decadal Variability Dominated by
2	Oceanic Rossby Waves
3	(Supplementary material)
4	
5	Xian Wu ^{1*} , Stephen G. Yeager ² , Clara Deser ² , Antonietta Capotondi ^{3,4} , Andrew T. Wittenberg ⁵ ,
6	and Michael J. McPhaden ⁶
7	
8	1 Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences Program, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ
9	2 National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO
10	3 Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado
11	Boulder, Boulder, CO
12	4 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration /Physical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder,
13	СО
14	5 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory,
15	Princeton, NJ
16	6 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration /Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory,
17	Seattle, WA
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	*Corresponding author: Xian Wu, <u>xw2794@princeton.edu</u>



EOF2 of tropical Pacific decadal SST anomalies, quadratically detrended

27 28 Fig. S1 As in Fig. 1 but for the second leading EOF mode and PC2.



Leading EOF of tropical Pacific decadal SST anomalies, quadratically detrended HadISST 10yr running mean

CESM1 PI control (400-2200), Leading EOF of tropical Pacific decadal SST anomalies, non-detrended



30 Fig. S2 TPDV simulation in the alternative observational datasets and the CESM1 free-

31 running preindustrial simulation. As in Fig. 1 in the main text, but for (top row) HadISST

32 (1955–2022) and ORAS4 (1958–2019), and (bottom) 1801-yr control simulation of CESM1 under

the preindustrial forcing condition. The timeseries of standardized PC1 are only shown for the last

101 years (2100–2200). The year in the axis indicates the start year of any 10-year average window.

35 The numbers in the top-left corner of panels in the first column denote the percentage of total

36 variance explained by the leading EOF mode in each dataset.

29



37

Fig. S3 As in Fig. 2 in the main text, but for skill evaluation relative to observations (SST in ERSSTv5, and ocean temperature in EN4).



40 41

Fig. S4 Definition of different metrics capturing subsurface ocean temperature variability in

FOSI. (a) Climatology and (b) standard deviation of equatorial Pacific $(3^{\circ}S-3^{\circ}N)$ subsurface 42 43 ocean temperature, (c) standard deviation of 10-yr low-pass filtered component, and (d) standard deviation ratio of low-pass filtered component of total (non-detrended) annual mean temperature. 44 (e-h) as in a-d but for the zonally averaged (130°E-140°W) subsurface ocean temperature. The 45 curves in a-h denote the climatological mixed layer depth (blue curves), thermocline depth 46 (defined as the depth of maximum vertical temperature gradient; black curves), and isopycnal 47 depth where the potential density is equal to 25.5 kg m⁻³ (σ_{θ} =25.5 kg m⁻³; red curves). (i) 48 49 Climatology and (j) standard deviation of annual mean isopycnal depth ($\sigma = 25.5 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$). (k) Climatology and (1) standard deviation of annual mean spiciness [°C; defined as temperature on 50 the isopycnal depth ($\sigma = 25.5 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$)]. The yellow and pink dots in 1 denote pathways where 51

52 spiciness standard deviations are largest at each latitude.



a. Annual isopycnal depth (25.5)/SST/surface wind stress curl anomalies, FOSI

53 54





56 surface wind stress curl.



a. Decadal variations in isopycnal depth (25.5)/SST/surface wind stress curl anomalies, ORAS4/HadISST/NOAA20CR

58 59 Fig. S7 As in Fig. 3, but using ORAS4 for isopycnal depth, HadISST for SST, and NOAA 20CR 60 for surface wind stress curl.



- Fig. S8 STC climatology and anomalies associated with TPDV. (top left) Climatology of ocean
 overturning streamfunction (Sv) zonally averaged across the Pacific Ocean as a function of depth
- 64 (m) and latitude. Postive (negative) values of overturning streamfunction indicate clockwise (anti-
- 65 clockwise) orbit direction of the transport. (right) Anomalies during the negative TPDV phases
- 66 (1962–1977; 1998–2014) and positive TPDV phase (1978–1997).

61

67

DPLE, Correlations with FY1-10 Eq. Pacific quadratically detrended SST



68 69

Fig. S9 As in Fig. 5, but for DPLE.



DPLE_NoVolc, SVD of FY1-10 TropPacific SST and Nov0 global SST, quadratic

71 Fig. S10 Pattern and timeseries associated with the (top row) first and (bottom row) second SVD 72 modes of the covariance of predicted tropical Pacific (20°S-20°N, 120°E-120°W) SST anomalies in FY1–10 during 1955–2016, and global initial SST anomalies in Nov0 during 1954–2015. SVD1 73 explains 59% of the total squared covariance, while SVD2 explains 15%. The expansion 74 coefficients are correlated at a coefficient of 0.79 and 0.70 for SVD1 and SVD2, respectively. (left 75 column) Regression maps of predicted SST at FY1–10 on the standardized Nov0 SST expansion 76 coefficient (blue curve). (middle column) Regression maps of initial SST at NovO on the 77 78 standardized FY1-10 SST expansion coefficient. (right column) Standardized time series of FY1-79 10 SST (black) and Nov0 SST (blue) expansion coefficients.

80

70



-24.0 -18.0 -12.0 -6.0 0.0 6.0 12.0 18.0 24.0

- Fig. S11 Quadratically detrended SST anomalies during 1999–2008 in (a) ERSSTv5 and (b) the
- 83 10-member ensemble-mean forecast initialized in November 1998 in DPLE_NoVolc using
- traditional drift correction method (see Methods). (c) Isopycnal depth anomalies (m; $\sigma_{-\theta}$ = 25.5 kg m⁻³) on November 1, 1998, in FOSI.



- 88
 89 Fig. S12 Evaluation of SST initial conditions in FOSI (top left) Correlation, (top right)
- 90 standardized Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and (bottom right) RMSE maps of SST in
- 91 November in FOSI compared to ERSSTv5 during 1954-2015.



- 92 93 Fig. S13 As in Fig. 2a–e in the main text, but for skill evaluation for the global SST relative to FOST
- 94 FOSI.



- 95
 96 Fig. S14 As in Fig. 2a–e in the main text, but for skill evaluation for the global SST relative to
- 97 ERSSTv5.