

# Supplementary: A Multi-Model Assessment of Evolving Forced Changes in Northern Hemisphere Modes of Atmospheric Circulation Variability and the Role of the Tropical Pacific

Nicola Maher, <sup>1,2\*</sup>, Clara Deser<sup>3</sup> and John P. O'Brien<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

<sup>2</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for Weather of the 21st Century, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

<sup>3</sup>Climate and Global Dynamics Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Heising-Simons Foundation, Los Altos, California, USA.

\*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [nicola.maher@anu.edu.au](mailto:nicola.maher@anu.edu.au);

## 1 Model evaluation: Tropical Pacific

We evaluate the performance of the SMILEs in capturing the first two EOFs of tropical Pacific SST variability (Figure S1 & Figure 4 main paper bottom row). The first EOF exhibits a consistent pattern across all models and observations (correlations between 0.64 and 0.89 with observations); however, similar to the North Atlantic, the spatial maximum shifts between models. In contrast, the second EOF shows less agreement with observations (correlations between 0.3 and 0.78). While the broad-scale pattern is generally well captured, both the magnitude and finer details of the regression vary across models, indicating that this second mode is less well represented in the SMILEs compared to the first.

When examining the Tropical Pacific SST EOFs, our results align with previous studies (Figure S1 & Figure 4 main paper bottom row). Most models show a high pattern correlation with observations for EOF1 (greater than 0.9), although the standard deviation is overestimated in some models (e.g. [Planton et al, 2021](#); [Bellenger et al, 2014](#)). For example, in CESM1, CESM2 and MIROC6 all ensemble members

001  
002  
003  
004  
005  
006  
007  
008  
009  
010  
011  
012  
013  
014  
015  
016  
017  
018  
019  
020  
021  
022  
023  
024  
025  
026  
027  
028  
029  
030  
031  
032  
033  
034  
035  
036  
037  
038  
039  
040  
041  
042  
043  
044  
045  
046

047 overestimate the standard deviation. For EOF2, model agreement with observations is  
048 generally weaker than for EOF1. However, all models except ACCESS-ESM1.5 have  
049 at least one member with a correlation around 0.8.

050

## 051 **2 Supplementary Figures**

052

## 053 **References**

054

055 Bellenger H, Guilyardi E, Leloup J, et al (2014) Enso representation in climate models:  
056 from cmip3 to cmip5. *Climate Dynamics* 42(7):1999–2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1783-z>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1783-z>

058

059 Huang B, Thorne PW, Banzon VF, et al (2017) Extended reconstructed sea sur-  
060 face temperature, version 5 (ersstv5): Upgrades, validations, and intercomparisons.  
061 *Journal of Climate* 30(20):8179 – 8205. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0836.1>,  
062 URL <https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/30/20/jcli-d-16-0836.1.xml>

063

064 Planton YY, Guilyardi E, Wittenberg AT, et al (2021) Evaluating Climate Models with  
065 the CLIVAR 2020 ENSO Metrics Package. *Bulletin of the American Meteorologi-  
066 cal Society* 102(2):E193 – E217. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0337.1>, URL  
067 <https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/102/2/BAMS-D-19-0337.1.xml>

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

080

081

082

083

084

085

086

087


088

089

090

091


092



**Fig. S1** EOFs for the Tropical Pacific SST regressed onto DJF Z500 anomalies for the observed period (1950-2015). Shown for 8 SMILES, the multi-ensemble mean (MEM, computed as the average of the eight SMILE regression maps) and observations from NOAA/CIRES/DOE 20th Century Reanalysis (V3). All computations are complete using a traditional time-based EOF approach, although results are qualitatively similar when SEOF's are used for the SMILES (Figure S2). Observations are detrended by removing a quadratically fitted polynomial from each grid point, while the SMILES are detrended by removing the ensemble mean. The pattern correlation of each map with the observed map is shown in the top right corner of each subplot.


093  
094  
095  
096  
097  
098  
099  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138

139  
 140  
 141  
 142  
 143  
 144  
 145  
 146  
 147  
 148  
 149  
 150  
 151  
 152  
 153  
 154  
 155  
 156  
 157  
 158  
 159  
 160  
 161  
 162  
 163  
 164  
 165  
 166  
 167  
 168  
 169  
 170  
 171  
 172  
 173  
 174  
 175  
 176  
 177  
 178  
 179  
 180  
 181  
 182  
 183  
 184




**Fig. S2 SEOFs for the North Pacific and Atlantic Z500 regressed onto DJF Z500 anomalies for the observed period (1950-2015).** Shown for 8 SMILES, the multi-ensemble mean (MEM, computed as the average of the eight SMILE regression maps) and observations from NOAA/CIRES/-DOE 20th Century Reanalysis (V3) and ERSSTv5 (Huang et al, 2017). Observations are detrended by removing a quadratically fitted polynomial from each grid point, while the SMILES are detrended by removing the ensemble mean. The pattern correlation of each map with the observed map is shown in the top right corner of each subplot.

185  
 186  
 187  
 188  
 189  
 190  
 191  
 192  
 193  
 194  
 195  
 196  
 197  
 198  
 199  
 200  
 201  
 202  
 203  
 204  
 205  
 206  
 207  
 208  
 209  
 210  
 211  
 212  
 213  
 214  
 215  
 216  
 217  
 218  
 219  
 220  
 221  
 222  
 223  
 224  
 225  
 226  
 227  
 228  
 229  
 230




**Fig. S3 EOF pattern for each SMILE and observations.** Shown for North Pacific Z500 EOFs 1-3, North Atlantic Z500 EOF1 and Tropical Pacific SST EOFs 1-2. Z500 observations are from NOAA/CIRES/DOE 20th Century Reanalysis (V3) (1950-2015) and SST observations are from ERSSTv5 (1950-2015) (Huang et al, 2017). For this plot the EOFs are computed against time for the same period as the observations for each ensemble member. For this analysis the forced response is removed from the SMILE by removing the ensemble mean at each timestep. The observations are detrended using a quadratic fit. We note that detrending the SMILEs by removing a quadratic fit gives a qualitatively similar answer (not shown).

231  
 232  
 233  
 234  
 235  
 236  
 237  
 238  
 239  
 240  
 241  
 242  
 243  
 244  
 245  
 246  
 247  
 248  
 249




250 **Fig. S4** Projection (full values rather than the change) in the North Pacific and North  
 251 **Atlantic Z500 SEOFs regressed back onto Z500.** The North Pacific SEOF1-3 and North  
 252 **Atlantic SEOF1** as regressed onto Z500 from 2087-2097 compared to 1924-1934. Shown for each  
 253 SMILE, the multi-ensemble mean (MEM) and the standard deviation across the 8 SMILE means.  
 254 Contours show the baseline period 1924-1934 for reference.

255  
 256  
 257  
 258  
 259  
 260  
 261  
 262  
 263  
 264  
 265  
 266  
 267  
 268  
 269  
 270  
 271  
 272  
 273  
 274  
 275  
 276



274 **Fig. S5** Projection (full values rather than the change) in the North Pacific and North  
 275 **Atlantic Z500 SEOFs regressed back onto precipitation.** As in Figure S4 but for precipitation.



**Fig. S6** Projection (full values rather than the change) in the Tropical Pacific SST SEOFs regressed onto Z500. The Tropical Pacific SEOF1-2 as regressed onto Z500 from 2087-2097 compared to 1924-1934. Shown for each SMILE, the multi-ensemble mean (MEM) and the standard deviation across the 8 SMILE means. Contours show the baseline period 1924-1934 for reference.

277  
 278  
 279  
 280  
 281  
 282  
 283  
 284  
 285  
 286  
 287  
 288  
 289  
 290  
 291  
 292  
 293  
 294  
 295  
 296  
 297  
 298  
 299  
 300  
 301  
 302  
 303  
 304  
 305  
 306  
 307  
 308  
 309  
 310  
 311  
 312  
 313  
 314  
 315  
 316  
 317  
 318  
 319  
 320  
 321  
 322

323  
 324  
 325  
 326  
 327  
 328  
 329  
 330  
 331  
 332  
 333  
 334  
 335  
 336  
 337  
 338  
 339  
 340  
 341  
 342  
 343  
 344  
 345  
 346  
 347  
 348  
 349  
 350  
 351  
 352  
 353  
 354  
 355  
 356  
 357  
 358  
 359  
 360  
 361  
 362  
 363  
 364  
 365  
 366  
 367  
 368






Fig. S7 Projection (full values rather than the change) in the Tropical Pacific SST SEOFs regressed onto precipitation. As in Figure S7 ranter but for precipitation.

369  
 370  
 371  
 372  
 373  
 374  
 375  
 376  
 377  
 378  
 379  
 380  
 381  
 382  
 383  
 384  
 385  
 386  
 387  
 388  
 389  
 390  
 391  
 392  
 393  
 394  
 395  
 396  
 397  
 398  
 399  
 400  
 401  
 402  
 403  
 404  
 405  
 406  
 407  
 408  
 409  
 410  
 411  
 412  
 413  
 414




**Fig. S8 Time-varying correlation coefficients** between the SPC of each Northern Hemisphere Z500 SEOF mode and the SPC of Tropical Pacific SEOF1 (left) and 2 (right).

415  
 416  
 417  
 418  
 419  
 420  
 421  
 422  
 423  
 424  
 425  
 426  
 427  
 428  
 429  
 430  
 431  
 432  
 433  
 434  
 435  
 436  
 437  
 438  
 439  
 440  
 441  
 442  
 443  
 444  
 445  
 446  
 447  
 448  
 449  
 450  
 451  
 452  
 453  
 454  
 455  
 456  
 457  
 458  
 459  
 460




**Fig. S9 Projected change in the Tropical Pacific SST EOFs regressed onto surface air temperature and precipitation over the tropics.** Each SEOF is regressed onto surface air temperature (left) and precipitation (right) in DJF. Shown is the change in this regression in 2087-2097 compared to 1924-1934. Shown for each SMILE, the multi-ensemble mean (MEM) the signal-to-noise ratio defined as the MEM divided by the standard deviation across the 8 SMILE means (ME-STD). Contours show the baseline period 1924-1934 for reference. Contours on the signal-to-noise ratio show the values 1 and 2.

461  
 462  
 463  
 464  
 465  
 466  
 467  
 468  
 469  
 470  
 471  
 472  
 473  
 474  
 475  
 476  
 477  
 478  
 479  
 480  
 481  
 482  
 483  
 484  
 485  
 486  
 487  
 488  
 489  
 490  
 491  
 492  
 493  
 494  
 495  
 496  
 497  
 498  
 499  
 500  
 501  
 502  
 503  
 504  
 505  
 506



**Fig. S10** Projected change in the North Pacific and North Atlantic Z500 SEOFs regressed onto Z500 after the influence of the tropical Pacific is removed. Change in each of the North Pacific SEOF1-3 and North Atlantic SEOF1 after the removal of Tropical Pacific SST SEOF1-2 as regressed onto Z500 from 2087-2097 as compared to 1924-1934. Shown for each SMILE, the multi-ensemble mean (MEM) and the standard deviation across the 8 SMILE means (ME-STD). Contours show the baseline period 1924-1934 for reference. The tropical Pacific SST SEOFs are removed using regression prior to the projected change being regressed back onto Z500.

507  
 508  
 509  
 510  
 511  
 512  
 513  
 514  
 515  
 516  
 517  
 518  
 519  
 520  
 521  
 522  
 523  
 524  
 525  
 526  
 527  
 528  
 529  
 530  
 531  
 532  
 533  
 534  
 535  
 536  
 537  
 538  
 539  
 540  
 541  
 542  
 543  
 544  
 545  
 546  
 547  
 548  
 549  
 550  
 551  
 552



**Fig. S11** Projected change in the North Pacific and North Atlantic Z500 SEOFs regressed back onto precipitation after the influence of the tropical Pacific is removed. As in Figure S10 but for precipitation.